

Higgs effect in Conformal Cosmology & Supernova Data

B.M. Barbashov, L.A. Glinka,* V.N. Pervushin, and A.F. Zakharov

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

(Dated: February 1, 2008)

The formulation of the Higgs effect is studied in the Glashow–Weinberg–Salam Standard Model, where the constant part of the Higgs potential is identified with the zeroth mode of the Higgs field. In this model, the Coleman–Weinberg effective potential obtained from the vacuum–vacuum transition amplitude is equal to unity at the extremum. This extremum immediately removes tremendous vacuum cosmological density and predicts mass of Higgs field. In this model, the kinetic energy density of the Higgs field and any scalar field can be treated as the rigid state origin that explains Supernova data in the conformal cosmology without the Λ term.

PACS numbers: 12.60.Fr, 97.60.Bw, 11.15.-q, 12.15.-y, 12.38.Qk, 98.80.-k

Keywords: Higgs Effect, Standard Model, General Relativity, Conformal Cosmology, Supernovae Data

INTRODUCTION

The discovery and research of the Higgs boson are the highest priority of modern elementary particle physics. Existing of the Higgs particle is immediately associated with the fundamental question about mass-generation mechanism [1]. However, the status of this mechanism in particle physics is not well and clear defined. The Higgs potential is added to the Standard Model by artificial phenomenological construction. This "by hand" construction leads to fundamental problems in correct formulation of the theory - in this self-consistent spontaneous symmetry breaking mechanism.

The other alive problem is the unification of the General Relativity with the Standard Model leading to tremendous vacuum cosmological density. The problem of the vacuum density becomes crucial in the context of the explanation of the last supernovae data on the luminosity distance – redshift relation [2, 3]. Recall that the best fit to the points including 189 high-redshift Type Ia supernovae [2, 3] requires a cosmological constant $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$ and $\Omega_{\text{ColdDarkMatter}} = 0.3$ in the case of the standard cosmological model with absolute units $ds_{\text{Einstein}}^2 = g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu$. An alternative description of the SN data without a Λ -term are also discussed among other possibilities [4, 5, 6, 7, 8] as evidence for the Weyl geometry [9], where all measurable quantities and their units are considered on equal footing $ds_{\text{Weyl}}^2 = ds_{\text{Einstein}}^2 / ds_{\text{Einstein}}^2 \text{ Units}$. The relative units leads to conformal cosmological models, where the supernovae data is compatible with the rigid state $\Omega_{\text{rig}} = 0.85 \pm 0.15$, and $\Omega_{\text{CDM}} = 0.15 \pm 0.10$. However, in both the cases, the standard cosmology and the conformal one, a question arises "What is an origin of the Λ -term or the rigid state, respectively?"

In this paper, the conformal-invariant formulation of the vacuum Higgs effect is studied in the context of solution of the problems of tremendous vacuum cosmological density and the origin of the rigid state needed for explanation of the supernovae data in conformal cosmology [5, 6]. We show that the origin of the rigid state can be kinetic energy density of any scalar field including the Higgs field, if the potential energy of this field is suppressed or is absent.

As first we discuss the conformal-invariant formulation of the Higgs effect and the condensate mechanism of spontaneously symmetry breaking. Next part of paper is devoted to a status of the scalar Higgs field in the context of the unification of the General Relativity and the Standard Model compatible with the Newton law. Finally we study the cosmological aspects of the Higgs effect.

THE STANDARD MODEL

Acceptable Higgs effect

The Standard Model constructed on the Yang–Mills theory [10] with the symmetry group $SU(2) \times U(1)$ is known as the Glashow–Weinberg–Salam theory of electroweak interactions [11]. The action of the

Standard Model in the electroweak sector with presence of the Higgs field can be written in the form

$$S_{\text{SM}} = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}} = \int d^4x [\mathcal{L}_{\text{Ind}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{Higgs}}], \quad (1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Ind}} = -\frac{1}{4}G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\mu\nu}^{a\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \sum_s \bar{s}_1^R \gamma^\mu (D_\mu^{(-)} + \imath g' B_\mu) s_1^R + \sum_s \bar{L}_s \gamma^\mu D_\mu^{(+)} L_s, \quad (2)$$

is the Higgs field independent part of the Lagrangian and

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Higgs}} = \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \phi \sum_s f_s \bar{s} s + \frac{\phi^2}{4} \sum_v g_v^2 V^2 - \underbrace{\lambda [\phi^2 - C^2]^2}_{V_{\text{Higgs}}} \quad (3)$$

is the Higgs field dependent part. Here

$$\sum_s f_s \bar{s} s \equiv \sum_{s=s_1, s_2} f_s [\bar{s}_s R s_{sL} + \bar{s}_s L s_{sR}], \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{1}{4} \sum_v g_v^2 V^2 \equiv \frac{1}{4} \sum_{v=W_1, W_2, Z} g_v^2 V^2 = \frac{g^2}{4} W_\mu^+ W^{-\mu} + \frac{g^2 + g'^2}{4} Z_\mu Z^\mu \quad (5)$$

are the mass-like terms of fermions and W-,Z-bosons coupled with the Higgs field, $G_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + g\varepsilon_{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c$ is the field strength of non-Abelian $SU(2)$ fields (that give weak interactions) and $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu$ is the field strength of Abelian $U(1)$ (electromagnetic interaction) ones, $D_\mu^{(\pm)} = \partial_\mu - \imath g \frac{\tau_a}{2} A_\mu^a \pm \frac{\imath}{2} g' B_\mu$ are the covariant derivatives, $\bar{L}_s = (\bar{s}_1^L \bar{s}_2^L)$ are the fermion doublets, g and g' are the Weinberg coupling constants, and measurable gauge bosons W_μ^+ , W_μ^- , Z_μ are defined by the relations:

$$W_\mu^\pm \equiv A_\mu^1 \pm A_\mu^2 = W_\mu^1 \pm W_\mu^2, \quad (6)$$

$$Z_\mu \equiv -B_\mu \sin \theta_W + A_\mu^3 \cos \theta_W, \quad (7)$$

$$\tan \theta_W = \frac{g'}{g}, \quad (8)$$

where θ_W is the Weinberg angle.

The crucial meaning has a distribution of the Higgs field ϕ on the zeroth Fourier harmonic

$$\langle \phi \rangle = \frac{1}{V_0} \int d^3x \phi \quad (9)$$

and the nonzeroth ones h , which we will call the Higgs boson

$$\phi = \langle \phi \rangle + \frac{h}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \int d^3x h = 0. \quad (10)$$

In the acceptable way, $\langle \phi \rangle$ satisfies the particle vacuum classical equation ($h = 0$)

$$\frac{\delta V_{\text{Higgs}}(\langle \phi \rangle)}{\delta \langle \phi \rangle} = 4\langle \phi \rangle [\langle \phi \rangle^2 - C^2] = 0 \quad (11)$$

that has two solutions

$$\langle \phi \rangle_1 = 0, \quad \langle \phi \rangle_2 = C \neq 0. \quad (12)$$

The second solution corresponds to the spontaneous vacuum symmetry breaking that determines the masses of all elementary particles

$$M_W = \frac{\langle \phi \rangle}{\sqrt{2}} g \quad (13)$$

$$M_Z = \frac{\langle \phi \rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{g^2 + g'^2} \quad (14)$$

$$m_s = \langle \phi \rangle y_s, \quad (15)$$

according to the definitions of the masses of vector (v) and fermion (s) particles

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass terms}} = \frac{M_v^2}{2} V_\mu V^\mu - m_s \bar{s}s. \quad (16)$$

Conformal Higgs effect

The Hamiltonian approach to the Standard Model considered in [12] leads to fundamental operator quantization that allows a possibility of dynamic spontaneous symmetry breaking based on the Higgs potential (3), where instead of a dimensional parameter C we substitute the zeroth Fourier harmonic (9)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Higgs}} = \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \phi \sum_s f_s \bar{s}s + \frac{\phi^2}{4} \sum_v g_v^2 V^2 - \underbrace{\lambda [\phi^2 - \langle \phi \rangle^2]^2}_{V_{\text{Higgs}}}. \quad (17)$$

After the separation of the zeroth mode (10) the bilinear part of the Higgs Lagrangian takes the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Higgs}}^{\text{bilinear}} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu h \partial^\mu h - \langle \phi \rangle \sum_s f_s \bar{s}s + \frac{\langle \phi \rangle^2}{4} \sum_v g_v^2 V^2 - 2\lambda \langle \phi \rangle^2 h^2. \quad (18)$$

In the lowest order in the coupling constant, the bilinear Lagrangian of the sum of all fields $S^{(2)} = \sum_F S_F^{(2)}[\langle \phi \rangle]$ arises with the masses of vector (13), (14), fermion (s) (15) and Higgs (h) particles:

$$m_h = 2\sqrt{\lambda} \langle \phi \rangle. \quad (19)$$

The sum of all vacuum-vacuum transition amplitude diagrams of the theory is known as the effective Coleman – Weinberg potential [13]

$$\mathcal{V}_{eff}^{\text{conf}}(\langle \phi \rangle) = -i \text{Tr} \log \langle 0|0 \rangle_{(\langle \phi \rangle)} = -i \text{Tr} \log \prod_F G_F^{-A_F}[\langle \phi \rangle] G_F^{A_F}[\phi_I], \quad (20)$$

where $G_F^{-A_F}$ are the Green-function operators with $A_F = 1/2$ for bosons and $A_F = -1$ for fermions. In this case, the unit vacuum-vacuum transition amplitude $\langle 0|0 \rangle \Big|_{\langle \phi \rangle = \phi_I} = 1$ means that

$$\mathcal{V}_{eff}^{\text{conf}}(\phi_I) = 0, \quad (21)$$

where ϕ_I is a solution of the variation equation

$$\partial_0^2 \langle \phi \rangle + \frac{d\mathcal{V}_{eff}^{\text{conf}}(\langle \phi \rangle)}{d\langle \phi \rangle} \Big|_{\langle \phi \rangle = \phi_I} = \partial_0^2 \langle \phi \rangle + \sum_s f_s \langle \bar{s}s \rangle - \frac{\langle \phi \rangle}{2} \sum_v g_v^2 \langle V^2 \rangle + 4\lambda \langle \phi \rangle \langle h^2 \rangle = 0, \quad (22)$$

here $\langle V^2 \rangle, \langle \bar{s}s \rangle, \langle h^2 \rangle$ are the condensates determined by the Green functions in [12]

$$\langle V^2 \rangle = \langle V_\mu(x) V_\mu(x) \rangle = -2L_v^2(M_{R_v}), \quad (23)$$

$$\langle \bar{s}s \rangle = \langle \bar{\psi}_\alpha(x) \psi_\alpha(x) \rangle = -m_{R_s} L_s^2(m_{R_s}); \quad (24)$$

$$\langle h^2 \rangle = \langle h(x) h(x) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} L_h^2(m_{R_h}); \quad (25)$$

here $L_p^2(m_p^2)$ are values of the integral

$$L_p^2(m_p^2) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{\sqrt{m_p^2 + \mathbf{k}^2}}. \quad (26)$$

Finally, using the definitions of the condensates and masses (13), (14), (15), (19) we obtain the equation of motion

$$\langle \phi \rangle \partial_0^2 \langle \phi \rangle = \sum_s m_s^2 L_s^2 - 2 \sum_v M_v^2 L_v^2 - \frac{1}{2} m_h^2 L_h^2. \quad (27)$$

In the class of constant solutions $\partial_0^2 \langle \phi \rangle \equiv 0$ this equation has two solutions

$$\langle \phi \rangle_1 = 0, \quad \langle \phi \rangle_2 = C \neq 0. \quad (28)$$

The nonzero solution means that there is the Gell-Mann–Oakes–Renner type relation

$$L_h^2 m_h^2 = 2 \sum_{s=s_1, s_2} L_s^2 m_s^2 - 4[2M_W^2 L_W^2 + M_Z^2 L_Z^2]. \quad (29)$$

In the minimal SM [14], a three color t-quark dominates $\sum_s m_s^2 \simeq 3m_t^2$ because contributions of other fermions $\sum_{s \neq t} m_s^2 / 2m_t \sim 0.17$ GeV are very small. In the large cut-off limit we have the equality $L_W^2 = L_Z^2 = L_s^2 = L_h^2 = L^2$ which immediately leads to calculation of the Higgs mass

$$m_h = \sqrt{6m_t^2 - 4[2M_W^2 + M_Z^2]} = 311.6 \pm 8.9 \text{ GeV}, \quad (30)$$

if we substitute the PDG data by the values of masses of bosons $M_W = 80.403 \pm 0.029$ GeV, $M_Z = 91.1876 \pm 0.00021$ GeV, and t-quark $m_t = 174.2 \pm 3.3$ GeV.

If we suppose that the condensates $L_p^2(m_{\text{Rp}}^2)$ are defined by the subtraction procedure associated with the renormalization of masses and wave functions leading to the finite value

$$L_{\text{Rp}}^2(m_{\text{Rp}}^2) = L_{\text{p}}^2(m_{\text{Rp}}^2) - L_{\text{p}}^2(0) - m_{\text{Rp}}^2 \left[\frac{d}{dm_{\text{Rp}}^2} L_{\text{p}}^2(m_{\text{Rp}}^2) \right]_{m_{\text{Rp}}^2=0} = \gamma m_{\text{Rp}}^2, \quad (31)$$

where γ is the universal parameter, the sum rule (29) takes the form $m_h^4 = 6m_t^4 - 4[2M_W^4 + M_Z^4]$. This sum rule gives the value of the Higgs mass

$$m_h = \sqrt[4]{6m_t^4 - 4[2M_W^4 + M_Z^4]} = 264.6 \pm 7.5 \text{ GeV}. \quad (32)$$

CONFORMAL UNIFICATION WITH THE GENERAL RELATIVITY

The unified action

As it is known [15] pure Einstein gravitation in absence of the matter fields is given by the Hilbert action

$$S_{GR} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[-\frac{\varphi_0^2}{6} {}^{(4)}R(g) \right], \quad (33)$$

where $1/\varphi_0^2 = 8\pi G/3$ is dimensional parameter determined by the Newton constant G , and g is the metric determinant.

The action of the SM in the electroweak sector, with presence of the conformally coupled Higgs field can be write in the form

$$S_{\text{SM}} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{\phi^2}{6} {}^{(4)}R(g) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{Ind}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{Higgs}} \right], \quad (34)$$

that differs from (1) by the curvature term.

In acceptable QFT unification of the General Relativity and the Standard Model is considered as the direct algebraical sum of GR (33) and SM (1) actions

$$S_{\text{GR\&SM}} = S_{\text{GR}} + S_{\text{SM}}. \quad (35)$$

in the Riemannian manifold.

Higgs-metric mixing. Restoration of Newton's law.

The General Relativity and the Standard Model reflect almost all physical effects and phenomena revealed by measurements and observations, however, it does not means that the direct sum of the actions of GR and SM lies in agreement with all these effects and phenomena. One can see that the conformal coupling Higgs field ϕ with conformal weight $n = -1$ distorts the Newton coupling constant in the Hilbert action (33)

$$S_{\text{GR+Scalar}} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[- \left(1 - \frac{\phi^2}{\varphi_0^2} \right) \frac{\varphi_0^2}{6} R(g) + g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi \right] \quad (36)$$

due to the additional curvature term in the Higgs Lagrangian (3) $1 - \phi^2/\varphi_0^2$. This distortion changes the Einstein equations and their standard solutions of the Schwarzschild type and other [16, 17, 18].

The coefficient $1 - \phi^2/\varphi_0^2$ restricts region, where the Higgs field is given, by the condition $\phi^2 < \varphi_0^2$, because in other region $\phi^2 > \varphi_0^2$ the sign before the 4-dimensional curvature is changed in the Hilbert action (33).

In order to keep the Einstein theory (33), one needs to consider only the field configuration such that $\phi^2 < \varphi_0^2$. For this case one can introduce new variables by the Bekenstein–Wagoner transformation [16]

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{B})} \cosh^2 Q \simeq g_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{B})}, \quad (37)$$

$$\phi^2 = \varphi_0^2 \sinh^2 Q \simeq \varphi_0^2 Q^2, \quad (38)$$

$$s_{(\text{B})} = (\cosh Q)^{-3/2} s \quad (39)$$

considered in [17, 18]. These variables restore the initial Einstein–Hilbert action (36) with the standard Newton law in the following way

$$S_{\text{GR+Scalar}} = \varphi_0^2 \int d^4x \sqrt{-g_{(\text{B})}} \left[- \frac{R(g_{(\text{B})})}{6} + g_{(\text{B})}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu Q \partial_\nu Q \right]. \quad (40)$$

Now it is clear that *the Bekenstein–Wagoner (BW) transformation converts the "conformal coupling" Higgs field with the weight $n = -1$ into the "minimal coupling" scalar field Q - an angle of the scalar - scale mixing that looks like a scalar graviton with the conformal weight $n = 0$.*

The Planck mass became one more parameter of the Higgs Lagrangian, so that the lowest order of the Lagrangian after decomposition

$$Q = \langle Q \rangle + \frac{h}{\varphi_0 \sqrt{2}} \quad (41)$$

over small constant $\langle Q \rangle \sim C/\varphi_0 \ll 1$ reproduces the acceptable Standard Model action (17)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Higgs}}^\lambda(\langle Q \rangle) = \varphi_0^2 g_{(\text{B})}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu Q \partial_\nu Q - \langle Q \rangle \varphi_0 \sum_s f_s \bar{s}_{(\text{B})} s_{(\text{B})} + \frac{\langle Q \rangle^2 \varphi_0^2}{4} \sum_v g_v^2 V^2 - 4\lambda \langle Q \rangle^2 \varphi_0^2 h^2. \quad (42)$$

CONFORMAL COSMOLOGY. THE HIGGS EFFECT.

Since the end of the XX century supernovae data has widespread tested for all theoretical cosmological models. The main reason of this is the fact that supernovae "standard candles" are still unknown or absent [19]. Moreover, the first observational conclusion about accelerating Universe and existence of non-vanishing the Λ -term was done with the cosmological SNe Ia data. Therefore, typically standard (and alternative) cosmological approaches are checked with the test.

Models of Conformal Cosmology are also discussed among other possibilities [4, 5, 6, 8]. Conformal Cosmology is an alternative description of the Supernovae data without the Λ -term as evidence for the Weyl geometry [9] with the relative units interval $ds_{\text{Weyl}}^2 = ds_{\text{Einstein}}^2 / ds_{\text{Einstein Units}}^2$ where all measurable quantities and their units are considered on equal footing. There is the scalar version of the Weyl geometry described by the conformal-invariant action of a massless scalar field [20] with the negative sign that is

mathematically equivalent to the Hilbert action of the General Relativity where the role of the scalar field ϕ is played by the parameter of the scale transformation $g^\Omega = \Omega^2 g$ multiplied by the Planck mass $\phi = \Omega M_{\text{Planck}} \sqrt{3/(8\pi)}$ [21].

Let us consider the Friedmann–Robertson–Walker (FRW) metric

$$ds^2 = ds_{\text{FRW}}^2 = a^2(x^0)[(d\eta)^2 - (dx^i dx^i)], \quad ds_{\text{Weyl}}^2 = (d\eta)^2 - (dx^i dx^i), \quad (43)$$

$$a = \varphi/\varphi_0, \quad \varphi_0 = M_{\text{Planck}} \sqrt{\frac{3}{(8\pi)}} \quad (44)$$

$$\eta = \int dx^0 N_0(x^0), \quad V_0 = \int d^3x. \quad (45)$$

The conformal vacuum Higgs effect in the cosmological approximation is described by the action

$$S_c[\varphi|\bar{Q}]|_{N_0 \neq 1} = V_0 \int dx^0 \left[\frac{\varphi^2}{N_0} \left(\frac{d\langle Q \rangle}{dx^0} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{N_0} \left(\frac{d\varphi}{dx^0} \right)^2 - N_0 V_{\text{eff}}^{\text{conf}}(\varphi\langle Q \rangle) \right], \quad (46)$$

where $V_{\text{eff}}^{\text{conf}}(\varphi\langle Q \rangle)$ is the Coleman–Weinberg effective potential and is given by the formula (20). These action and interval keep the symmetry with respect to reparametrizations of the coordinate evolution parameter $x^0 \rightarrow \bar{x}^0 = \bar{x}^0(x^0)$. Therefore, the cosmological model (46) can be considered by analogy with a model of a relativistic particle in the Special Relativity (SR) including the Hamiltonian approach to this theory. The canonical conjugate momenta of the theory (46) are

$$P_\varphi = 2\varphi' V_0, \quad P_{\langle Q \rangle} = 2\varphi^2 \langle Q \rangle' V_0 \quad (47)$$

where $f' = \frac{df}{d\eta}$. After Hamiltonian reduction the action has a form

$$S[\varphi|\bar{Q}] = \int dx^0 \left[P_{\langle Q \rangle} \frac{d\langle Q \rangle}{dx^0} - P_\varphi \frac{d\varphi}{dx^0} - \frac{N_0}{4V_0} (-P_\varphi^2 + E_\varphi^2) \right], \quad (48)$$

where

$$E_\varphi = 2V_0 \left[\frac{P_{\langle Q \rangle}^2}{4V_0^2 \varphi^2} + V_{\text{eff}}^{\text{conf}}(\varphi\langle Q \rangle) \right]^{1/2} \quad (49)$$

is treated as the "energy of a universe".

The classical energy constraint in the model (48) is

$$P_\varphi^2 - E_\varphi^2 = 0 \quad (50)$$

and repeat completely the cosmological equations in the case of the rigid state equation $\Omega_{\text{rigid}} = 1$ because due to the unit vacuum-vacuum transition amplitude $V_{\text{eff}}^{\text{conf}}(\varphi\langle Q_I \rangle) = 0$

$$\varphi_0^2 a'^2 = \frac{P_{Q_I}^2}{4V_0^2 \varphi^2} = H_0^2 \frac{\Omega_{\text{rigid}}}{a^2}, \quad (51)$$

where P_{Q_I} is a constant of the motion

$$P'_{Q_I} = 0, \quad (52)$$

because in the equation of motion

$$P'_{\langle Q \rangle} + \frac{dV_{\text{eff}}^{\text{conf}}(\varphi\langle Q \rangle)}{d\langle Q \rangle} = 0, \quad (53)$$

the last term is equal zero $\frac{dV_{\text{eff}}^{\text{conf}}(\varphi\langle Q \rangle)}{d\langle Q \rangle} = 0$ if all masses satisfy the Gell-Mann–Oakes–Renner type relation (29).

The solution of these equations take the form

$$\varphi(\eta) = \varphi_I \sqrt{1 + 2\mathcal{H}_I \eta}, \quad \langle Q \rangle(\eta) = Q_I + \log \sqrt{1 + 2\mathcal{H}_I \eta}, \quad (54)$$

where

$$\varphi_I = \varphi(\eta = 0), \quad \mathcal{H}_I \equiv \frac{\varphi'(\eta = 0)}{\varphi(\eta = 0)} = \frac{P_{\langle Q \rangle}}{2V_0 \varphi_I^2}, \quad (55)$$

$$Q_I = \langle Q \rangle(\eta = 0), \quad P_{\langle Q \rangle} = \text{const} \quad (56)$$

are the ordinary “free” initial data of the equation of the motion. Besides of the Higgs field Q_H can be one more (massless) scalar field Q_A (of the type of axion (A)). In this case

$$\varphi(\eta) = \varphi_I \sqrt{1 + 2\mathcal{H}_I \eta}, \quad (57)$$

$$Q_A(\eta) = Q_{AI} + \frac{\mathcal{H}_A}{2\mathcal{H}_I} \log(1 + 2\mathcal{H}_I \eta), \quad (58)$$

$$Q_H(\eta) = Q_{HI} + \frac{\mathcal{H}_H}{2\mathcal{H}_I} \log(1 + 2\mathcal{H}_I \eta), \quad (59)$$

where $\varphi_I, \mathcal{H}_I = \sqrt{\mathcal{H}_A^2 + \mathcal{H}_H^2}$ and $Q_{AI}, \mathcal{H}_A = \frac{P_A}{2V_0 \varphi_I^2}$ and $Q_{HI}, \mathcal{H}_H = \frac{P_H}{2V_0 \varphi_I^2}$ are free initial data in the CMB frame of reference, in the contrast to the Inflationary model, where $\varphi_I = \mathcal{H}(\eta = \eta_0)$.

As it was shown [4, 22] there are initial data of quantum creation of matter at $z_I + 1 = 10^{15}/3$, and a value of the Higgs-metric mixing “angle” $Q_0 \simeq 3 \times 10^{-17}$ is in agreement with the present-day energy budget of the Universe.

SUMMARY

In this paper we study the conformal-invariant formulation of the vacuum Higgs effect in the context of solution of the problems of tremendous vacuum cosmological density and the origin of the rigid state needed for explanation of the Supernova data in conformal cosmology [5, 6].

The conformal formulation of General Relativity and Standard Model was studied in the context of its consistency with the Higgs effect, Newton law, and the Super Novae luminosity-distance redshift relation. The conformal formulation admits the dynamic version of the Higgs potential, where its constant parameter is replaced by the zeroth Fourier harmonic of the very Higgs field. In this case, the zeroth mode equation is a new sum-rule that predicts mass of the Higgs field (30) or (32).

In this way the conformal vacuum version of the Higgs mechanism gives the possibility to solve the question about the nonzero vacuum value of the scalar field with the zero vacuum cosmological energy as a consequence of the unit vacuum-vacuum transition amplitude. The conformal vacuum version of the Higgs mechanism gives the possibility to explain the dominance of the most singular rigid state at the epoch of the intensive vacuum creation of the primordial vector bosons. As it was shown, it is compatible with energy budget of the universe [4] and the Supernova data [5, 6, 7].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors are grateful to K.A. Bronnikov, P.H. Chankowski, V.V. Kassandrov, D.I. Kazakov, E.A. Kuraev, Z. Lalak, D.G. Pavlov, S. Pokorski, Yu.P. Rybakov and I. Tkachev for interesting and critical discussions.

* Electronic address: glinka@theor.jinr.ru

[1] J.F. Gunion, H.E. Haber, G. Kane and S. Dawson, *The Higgs Hunter's Guide* (Perseus Publishing, Cambridge, MA, 2000).

- [2] A.D. Riess, L.-G. Strolger, J. Tonry et al., *Astrophys. J.*, 607 (2004) 665.
- [3] A.G. Riess et al., *Astron. J.* 116, (1998) 1009;
S. Perlmutter et al., *Astrophys. J.* 517, (1999) 565 (1999).
- [4] D.B. Blaschke, S.I. Vinitisky, A.A. Gusev, V.N. Pervushin, and D.V. Proskurin, *Physics of Atomic Nuclei* 67, 1050 (2004);
B.M. Barbashov, V.N. Pervushin, A.F. Zakharov, V.A. Zinchuk, *Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. A* **21**, 5957 (2006), astro-ph/0511824;
B.M. Barbashov, V.N. Pervushin, A.F. Zakharov, V.A. Zinchuk, *Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.* **4**, 171 (2007); hep-th/0606054;
B.M. Barbashov, V.N. Pervushin, A.F. Zakharov, V.A. Zinchuk, *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* **70**, No. 1, 191 (2007); astro-ph/0507368;
A.F. Zakharov, V.N. Pervushin, V.A. Zinchuk, *Phys. Part. and Nucl.* 37, 104 (2006);
B.M. Barbashov, V.N. Pervushin, A.F. Zakharov, V.A. Zinchuk, *Physics Letters B* **633**, 438 (2006);
V.N. Pervushin, A.F. Zakharov, V.A. Zinchuk, in *Proceeding of the INTAS Summer School and International Conference "New Trends in High-Energy Physics (experiment, phenomenology, theory)"*, Yalta, Crimea, Ukraine, 2005, Bogoliubov Institute for Theoretical Physics, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research (Dubna), p. 271;
B.M. Barbashov, V.N. Pervushin, A.F. Zakharov, V.A. Zinchuk, in *Proc. "Nuclear Science and Safety in Europe"*, T. Cechak et al. (eds.), Springer, 125, (2006); astro-ph/0511824;
V.N. Pervushin, A.F. Zakharov, V.A. Zinchuk, in *Proceedings "Nuclear Science and Safety in Europe"*, T. Cechak et al. (eds.), Springer, 201 (2006);
B.M. Barbashov, V.N. Pervushin, A.F. Zakharov, V.A. Zinchuk, in *Proc. of the 8th International Workshop Relativistic Nuclear Physics: from Hundreds MeV to TeV*, JINR, Dubna, Russia, p. 11, (2006);
B.M. Barbashov, V.N. Pervushin, A.F. Zakharov, V.A. Zinchuk, in *Proc. of the XXVIII Spanish Relativity Meeting E.R.E. "A Century of Relativity Physics"* Oviedo (Asturias) Spain, American Institute of Physics, **841**, 362 (2006).
- [5] D. Behnke, D.B. Blaschke, V.N. Pervushin, D.V. Proskurin, *Phys. Lett. B* **530**, (2002).
- [6] D. Behnke, *Conformal Cosmology Approach to the Problem of Dark Matter*, PhD Thesis, Rostock Report MPG-VT-UR 248/04 (2004).
- [7] A.F. Zakharov, A.A. Zakharova, V.N. Pervushin, astro-ph/0611639.
- [8] A.F. Zakharov, et al. *Phys.Rev.* **D74** 107101 (2006); astro-ph/0611051;
O.V. Babourova, B. N. Frolov, *Class.Quant.Grav.*, **20**, 1423 (2003); gr-qc/0209077;
R. Booth, IMPERIAL-TP-1-02-18, gr-qc/0203065;
D. Blaschke, M.P. Dabrowski, hep-th/0407078;
Z.-H. Zhu, M.-K. Fujimoto, *Astrophys.J.* **585** 52 (2003); astro-ph/0303021;
D. Puetzfeld, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **19** 4463 (2002); gr-qc/0205052;
P.P. Avelino, C.J.A.P. Martins, *Astrophys.J.* **565**, 661 (2002); astro-ph/0106274;
N. Dalal, K. Abazajian, E. Jenkins, A.V. Manohar, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **87**, 141302 (2001); astro-ph/0105317;
A.G. Riess et al. *Astrophys.J.* **560**, 49 (2001); e-Print: astro-ph/0104455;
M. Tegmark, *Phys.Rev.* **D66** 103507 (2002); astro-ph/0101354.
- [9] H. Weyl, *Sitzungsber. d. Berl. Akad.* 465 (1918) .
- [10] C.N. Yang , R.L. Mills *Phys.Rev.* **96**, 191 (1954)
- [11] S.L. Glashow *Nucl.Phys.* **22**, 579 (1961); S. Weinberg *Phys.Rev.Lett* **19**, 1264 (1967)
A. Salam *The standard model*. Almqvist and Wikdells, Stockholm 1969. In "Elementary Particle Theory", ed. N. Svartholm, p.367
- [12] B.M. Barbashov, L.A. Glinka, V.N. Pervushin, S.A. Shuvalov, A.F. Zakharov, hep-th/0611252.
- [13] S.R. Coleman, E. Weinberg *Phys. Rev.* **D7**, 1888 (1973)
- [14] D. Bardin, G. Passarino, *The standard model in the making: precision study of the electroweak interactions*, Clarendon, Oxford, 1999.
- [15] D. Hilbert, *Die Grundlängen der Physik*, Nachrichten von der Kön. Ges. der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, Math.-Phys. Kl., **3**, 395 (1915)
- [16] R. Wagoner, *Phys. Rev.* **D 1**, 3209 (1970);
J.D. Bekenstein, *Ann. Phys. (NY)* **82**, 535 (1974).
- [17] V.N. Pervushin, V.V. Papoyan, S.A. Gogilidze, A.M. Khvedelidze, Yu.G. Pali, V.I. Smirichinskii, *Phys.Lett. B* 365, 35 (1996).
- [18] V. Pervushin, V. Smirichinski, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **13**, 119 (1998); V. Pervushin, V. Tkach, and V. Zinchuk, (SQS'03): *Proc. of Intern. Workshop (Dubna, July 24-29, 2003) Dedicated to the 75 anniversary of the birth of V.I. Ogievetsky (1928 - 1996)*, Dubna, JINR, edited by E. Ivanov, A. Pashnev, 90 (2004)
- [19] N. Panagia, astro-ph/0502247.
- [20] R. Penrose, *Relativity, Groups and Topology*, (Gordon and Breach, London 1964);
Chernikov N., Tagirov E., *Ann. Inst. Hemri Poincarè* **9**, 109 (1968).
- [21] R. Kallosh, L. Kofman, A. Linde and A. Van Proeyen A., *Class. Quant. Grav.* **17**, 4269 (2000).
- [22] L.A. Glinka, V.N. Pervushin, R.P. Kosteci, gr-qc/0702062.